DO.No. PLG.FC (F)1-27/05/RIDF-XVI(MLAs)2010-11

Dated : Shimla-2 the 7th August, 2012



Subhasish Panda, IAS, Adviser (Planning)

I had an opportunity to interact with the concerned officers in the Nodal Officers meeting on RIDF held on 31st July, 2012 in NABARD Regional Office Shimla.

2. It was observed that the preparation of quality DPRs will certainly minimize the clarifications frequently asked by NABARD and will further help in reducing the number of non-starter and slow moving projects. State Government has allowed the implementing departments for outsourcing the preparation of DPRs. The Nodal Officer of PWD informed that the DPRs of bridge projects are being outsourced by the department. Departments may pose the DPRs for sanction only after obtaining all necessary permissions and clearances of concerned authorities in the State and Gol. It will help in timely grounding the projects and completing the same within phasing period. But as per the information supplied by NABARD in the above meeting, there are still 9 projects under clarifications, 65 non-starter projects and 199 slow moving projects. The scheme-wise list of these projects is enclosed at Annexure-"A", "B" and "C" respectively.

3. The completion of the projects within phasing period and project cost is the most urgent issue. Therefore, the implementing departments may accord highest priority for the completion of on-going projects of the oldest tranches, viz; 1st priority for tranche-XII projects and next priority for tranche-XIII projects and so on. Because the RIDF-XII and XIII are closing on 31-12-2012 and 31-3-2013 respectively.

4. The Project Sanctioning Committee of RIDF has raised important issues relating to project implementation such as supplying clarification on priority for the DPRs, timely completion of projects, reducing the number of non-starter projects, timely administrative approvals and technical sanctions, tendering of the projects within six months from the date of sanction, adopting e-tendering process, issue: of work order within nine months from the date of sanction, submission of the PCRs of all completed projects, etc. The Nodal Officer of your department who attended the meeting might have apprised you regarding all these issues discussed in the meeting.

Contd....page...2...

5. I would also like to inform you that the Chief Secretary, Himachal Pradesh has directed all the departments in the Annual Plan Review Meeting held on 2nd August, 2012 that the concerned Administrative Secretary may review all the sanctioned / ongoing projects relating to their departments to minimize all types of arbitration cases so as to ensure completion of the projects within stipulated period and project cost. The Chief Secretary, GoHP, reiterated that in view of the limits in raising loan through NABARD, departments may also pose MLA Priority Schemes for funding under Gol grant based programmes/schemes.

6. The State Government has viewed seriously the non-completion of the projects within phasing period and project cost. The matter has also been discussed in HPC meetings on RIDF and several other internal review meetings. The instructions/guidelines stand already issued to the implementing departments. I would, therefore, request you to strictly adhere to the timeline for the completion of all sanctioned projects and take necessary follow-up actions urgently under intimation to Planning Department.

Yours sincerely,

- sd-(Subhasish Panda)

Er. N. L. Sharma E-in-C (PWD) HP, Shimla-2.

Endst. No. As Above

Dated:Shimla-2, 7th August, 2012

Copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. The Secretary (PW) to the GoHP, Shimla-2.

2. The CGM, NABARD, Block No.-32 SDA Complex, Kasumpti, Shimla-9.

Adviser (Planning)

Himachal Pradesh Shimla-2.