

No. PLG FC (F) 1-34/12/RIDF-XVIII (WSS) – loose 2012-13  
Government of Himachal Pradesh  
Planning Department

From

Adviser (Planning)  
HP, Shimla-2.

To

The Engineer-in-Chief, I&PH  
U.S. Club, HP, Shimla-1.

Dated Shimla-2. the 09<sup>th</sup> July, 2013.

**Subject :- Appraisal of DPRs of MLAs Priority Schemes for posing to NABARD.**


Sir,

It has been observed that the formula for calculation of BCR and ERR in different DPRs of Hon'ble MLAs priority schemes of Minor Irrigation and Water Supply sectors being received are different. In some of the DPRs, the details of BCR and ERR are also not enclosed. The processing of DPRs for posing to NABARD gets held up /delayed due to these reasons.

2. As you are aware that the BCR and ERR are the two important parameters for appraising the economic viability of a project by NABARD. After taking these parameters into account, NABARD recommends the project proposal to Project Sanctioning Committee for approval. You are, therefore, requested to intimate the standard formula for calculating BCR and ERR so that pending DPRs could be processed accordingly. It may also be ensured that details of calculations of both the parameters must be attached with all the DPRs being posed by the department for funding under RIDF programme.

3. It is further requested that as per decision taken in the HPC meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2012 under the Chairmanship of Chief Secretary to the GoHP, the department may first pose the DPRs of MLA priority schemes for funding under grant based programmes of GoI like AIBP, NRDWP, Watershed Development Programme, Flood Management Programme, etc. The DPRs of the schemes which are not eligible for full funding under central sector programmes due to cost norms or other parameters may be posed for gap funding through NABARD loan assistance.


Yours faithfully,

  
Adviser (Planning)  
HP, Shimla-2.

Endst. No : As above dated Shimla-2, the

09<sup>th</sup> July, 2013.

Copy is forwarded to the ACS (IPH) to the Govt. of HP, Shimla-2 for information and necessary action.

 9/7/13  
Adviser (Planning)  
HP, Shimla-2.

From

Adviser (Planning)  
HP, Shimla-2.

To

The Engineer-in-Chief, I&PH  
U.S. Club, HP, Shimla-1.

Dated : Shimla-2. the 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2013


**Subject: MLA priority Scheme to be implemented under RIDF-XIX during financial year 2013-14.**

Sir,

Kindly refer to Nodal Officer-cum-SE P&I-II Unit I&PH letter No. IP SE(P&I)-II-NABARD-RIDF-XIX/2013-14/641-43 dated 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2013 vide which the D for "Providing LWSS for a group of villages in GP Rajera & Belly Tehsil Chamba" (P-I 2011-12 of Chamba constituency) amounting to Rs. 310.25 lakh has been forwarded for fund under RIDF-XIX.

2. In this context, it is informed that the department has indicated 12% ERR the scheme in the DPR whereas the NABARD generally considers such schemes for sanction which have the ERR above 15% and BCR greater than one. It is, therefore, requested that department may send the DPRs for NABARD funding only if the BCR comes greater than one ERR of the project is above 15 percent. The general instructions in this regard have already been issued vide this department letter No. PLG FC (F) 1-34/12/RIDF-XVIII (WSS)-loose 2012 dated 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 and the same may kindly be adhered to strictly while preparing/posing DPRs for NABARD funding. Also, Government of India may be requested to do a resurvey of habitations, under NRDWP so that the list of the PC habitations can be revised as per the latest status.

Yours faithfully,


  
Adviser (Planning)  
HP, Shimla-2.

Encl. one No. DPR in original.

Endst. No : As above. Dated Shimla-2 the

12<sup>th</sup> September, 2013

Copy is forwarded to the ACS (IPH) to the GoHP, Shimla-2 for information and for further necessary action.

  
Adviser (Planning)  
HP Shimla-2.